UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT SEATTLE

1

THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

VS.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

Plaintiffs,

No. C10-2052Z

SUSAN DREYFUS, et al.,

M.R. et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Stay Pending Appeal, docket no. 176. Plaintiffs' motion for a stay is based on the evidence and arguments previously presented to the Court in connection with the motions for a temporary restraining order and for a preliminary injunction, docket nos. 95 & 155. The Court has previously analyzed all of the pleadings filed in support of and in opposition to these motions. For the reasons stated in the Court's Order dated February 9, 2011, docket no. 171, the Court concludes that (1) Plaintiffs are not likely to succeed on the merits; (2) Plaintiffs have failed to show a likelihood of irreparable harm; (3) any further stay will substantially injure the States' interest in the orderly

implementation of its decision to reduce Medicaid spending in 2011; and (4) the balance of the equities strongly favors the State and the public interest would not be served by any further delays.

Plaintiffs filed this action on December 21, 2010, and since that time the Court has considered a substantial amount of briefs and supporting declarations filed by the parties. After the Court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction, the Court granted Plaintiffs leave to file a motion to amend the complaint and set an expedited briefing schedule on other pending motions. See Minute Order, (docket no. 172). The Court has also asked the parties to provide a joint status report on how this case might proceed on an expedited basis. Minute Order, (docket no. 178). Plaintiffs have failed to provide any basis for staying the Court's Order denying a preliminary injunction, or any reason not to proceed on an expedited basis in this Court.

Plaintiffs' motion for stay pending appeal, docket no. 176, is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

DATED this 16th day of February, 2011.

Thomas S. Zilly

United States District Judge

llox 2 comen 1